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The Sinks Drainages are a collection of closed surface drainage basins in southeast Idaho consisting of five U.S. Geological Survey hydrologic cataloging units: Beaver-Camas (17040214), Medicine Lodge (17040215), Birch (17040216), Little Lost (17040217), and Big Lost (17040218) units, in order from east to west (Fig. 1). The streams of these basins originate in the Pioneer, Lost River, Lemhi, and Centennial mountain ranges and flow generally east and south, eventually sinking into the fractured basalts of the Snake River Plain (Fig. 1). Adjacent drainages with surface connections to major river systems are the Big and Little Wood, Salmon, and Henrys Fork in the Snake River basin, and the Red Rock in the upper Missouri River basin (Fig. 2). Twenty-seven fish species and three hybrids have been documented in the Sinks Drainages (Table 1). However, because the Sinks drainage streams are isolated from these major river basins, the origin of their aquatic fauna is not clear. Stocking of nonnative fish species into Sinks drainage streams began in the 19th century, further confounding the problem of determining the native fish assemblages of these streams. It is possible that bull trout *Salvelinus confluentus*, a federally listed species under the Endangered Species Act, and cutthroat trout *Oncorhynchus clarki*, a state species of special concern, are native to at least some of the Sinks drainage streams. Thus, refined knowledge of historical and current presence/absence of these species has important implications for management of fish and related resources in the Sinks Drainages. This symposium brings together researchers from the physical, biological, and social sciences to address the following four questions.

1. What species are native to each of the Sinks Drainages?
2. How and when did the native species colonize the Sinks Drainages?
3. Do any of the native species potentially constitute an endemic subspecies or species?
4. What implications do the native fish assemblages have for the management of the Sinks Drainages?
Figure 1. Location and physiographic features of the Sinks Drainages.

Figure 2. Major streams and towns in the Sinks Drainage area.
Table 1. Fish species present within the Sinks Drainages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Big Lost</th>
<th>Little Lost</th>
<th>Birch Creek</th>
<th>Medicine Lodge</th>
<th>Beaver/ Camas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow Trout <em>Oncorhynchus mykiss</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutthroat Trout <em>Oncorhynchus clarki</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull Trout <em>Salvelinus confluens</em></td>
<td>?¹</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook Trout <em>Salvelinus fontinalis</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Trout <em>Oncorhynchus aquabonita</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Trout <em>Salmo trutta</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokanee <em>Oncorhynchus nerka</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayling <em>Thymallus arcticus</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Whitefish <em>Prosopium williamsoni</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paiute Sculpin <em>Cottus beldingi</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shorthead Sculpin <em>Cottus confluens</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mottled Sculpin <em>Cottus bairdi</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckled Dace <em>Rhinichthys osculus</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Chub <em>Gila atraria</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redside Shiner <em>Richardsonius balteatus</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Sucker <em>Catostomus ardens</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Perch <em>Perca flavescens</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth Bass <em>Micropterus salmoides</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Bullhead <em>Ameiurus nebulosus</em></td>
<td>Xⁿ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegill <em>Lepomis macrochirus</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Crappie <em>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</em></td>
<td>X¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guppy <em>Poecilia reticulate</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Swordtail <em>Xiphophorus helleri</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelanic Convict Cichlid <em>Cichlasoma nigrofasciatum</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique Tilapia <em>Tilapia mossambica</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldfish <em>Carassius auratus</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar <em>Astronotus ocellatus</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook Trout x Bull Trout hybrid</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow Trout x Cutthroat Trout hybrid</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger Muskie <em>Esox lucius x Esox masquinongy</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ In the mid 1970s, personnel from Idaho State University collected one fish from the Big Lost River below Arco that appeared to be a bull trout. (K. Overton, personal communication). However, to our knowledge this is the only report of bull trout in the Big Lost River drainage. We could not verify the presence of bull trout in the Big Lost River drainage through any other accounts or museum records. In addition, the Forest Service completed intensive sampling efforts in the upper Big Lost River drainage in the mid 1990’s and did not collect any bull trout anywhere in the drainage (B. Gamett, personal observation).

² One account indicates the presence of bull trout in this drainage. This account may be based on a fish deposited in a museum but we have been unable to view the fish to verify this. The presence of this species in this drainage could not be confirmed through another source.

³ Anglers have reportedly caught this species in this drainage. However, the presence of this species in this drainage has not been confirmed.

⁴ One account indicates this species was present in this drainage in the early 1900s. However, the presence of this species in this drainage could not be confirmed through a museum record or another source.

⁵ One account also indicates the presence of longnose dace *Rhinichthys cataractae* in this drainage. However, the presence of this species in this drainage could not be confirmed through a museum record or another source.

⁶ Both brown bullhead *Ameiurus nebulosus* and black bullhead *Ameiurus melas* are reported from this drainage. However, it is likely that all of these fish were actually brown bullhead.

⁷ At least one account reports the presence of black crappie in this drainage. Other accounts report the presence of crappie but do not specify a species. It is likely that these fish were also black crappie.
The drainage basins of streams which today drain into the northeastern Snake River Plain have been controlled by topographic uplift and subsidence of the northeast-migrating Yellowstone-Snake River Plain hotspot over the last 17 million years. In Eocene time, 40 to 30 Ma (million years ago), sediments were deposited in a north-trending rift system, and drainage may have been northward. The Green River Lake system occupied intermontane Laramide basins in Wyoming, northeast Utah and northwest Colorado.

From Oligocene to middle Miocene time (30 to 12 Ma), drainage was eastward from the eroded roots of the Idaho batholith and probably into the Missouri River system via the ancestral Green River. Drainage from southwest Montana was southeastward, through a middle Miocene Medicine Lodge Lake, and into the ancestral Green River (Fig. 3).

Figure 3. Topography of Snake River Plain region about 17 million years ago.
As the topographic bulge associated with the Yellowstone-Snake River Plain hot spot began to affect eastern Idaho with the Picabo and Heise volcanic fields from 10 to 4 Ma, the Snake River Plain area became an uplifted volcanic plateau, and drainage was radial, to the north, south, and east away from the locus of rhyolite volcanism. The Lost River, Lemhi, and Beaverhead ranges were uplifted, and the Miocene Big and Little Lost rivers initially drained northward into the Salmon River system, which flowed northward into Montana. Eventually the Salmon became a tributary to the Columbia River system after cutting of the River of No Return Canyon (likely post 10 Ma or late Miocene, but timing is uncertain). Ancestral Medicine Lodge and Camas creeks drained eastward into the Lake Teewinot and Green River system, through the Grand Canyon of the Snake and Hoback River canyons, which likely flowed eastward, opposite to their modern course (Fig. 4).

Pliocene to Holocene detumescence of extinct volcanic fields along the Snake River Plain resulted in subsidence of the Plain and northward migration of the headwaters of south-draining systems of the Big and Little Lost Rivers, and Birch Creek. Also, the west-flowing Snake River system became integrated, likely near its present location on the southern margin of the eastern Snake River Plain. Lake Idaho on the western Snake River Plain existed from 10 Ma to near 2 Ma and had a maximum extent about 3 Ma, during formation of the fossiliferous beds of the Glens Ferry Formation at Hagerman Fossil Beds National Monument (Fig. 5). Prior to late Pliocene or early Pleistocene cutting of Hells Canyon (2.5 to 2 Ma), Lake Idaho drained into the Sacramento River system. The traditional location for the drainage is southwestward through southeast Oregon, but there is no geological evidence for this path. Another possibility is a southern drainage of both Lake Idaho and the late Miocene Snake River into the ancestral Humboldt River system in northern Nevada.

Figure 4. Topography of Snake River Plain region about 10 million years ago.
Pleistocene construction of the northeast-trending Axial Volcanic High, with associated rhyolitic domes along the Snake River Plain, plus construction of northwest-trending basaltic volcanic rift zones parallel with Basin and Range mountains north of the Plain, resulted in isolation of the northern drainages from the Snake River. The result was formation of the Big Lost Trough and Lake Terreton basins and the modern Sinks drainage pattern.

Large Pleistocene lake systems (ancestral Lake Terreton) formed several times during glacial periods in the Big Lost Trough area (Fig. 6). The most extensive lake existed during the Olduvai normal magnetic polarity interval, from 1.95 to 1.77 Ma. Pleistocene to Holocene connections among the various Sinks Drainages were afforded by common drainage into a lake system on the Snake River Plain and not by connections at the headwaters. Periodic Pleistocene connections into the Snake River system were also likely. Recent volcanism on the Yellowstone Plateau to the northeast of the Sinks Drainages and desiccation of the Snake River Plain lake system produced the Snake River Plain topography and drainage system present today (Fig. 7).
Figure 6. Topography of Snake River Plain region about 1 million years ago.

Figure 7. Current topography of the Snake River Plain region.
Evolution and Historical Distribution of Fishes in Western North America in Relation to the Sinks Drainages

Robert J. Behnke
Department of Fishery and Wildlife Biology
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, CO  80523

The native fishes of the five isolated drainages of the Sinks basin are derived from former connections to contiguous drainages: Upper Snake, Middle Snake (Wood River), Upper Columbia (Salmon River), and Upper Missouri (Beaverhead River). Only one species, the shorthead sculpin *Cottus confusus*, is native to all of the Sinks Drainages. The shorthead sculpin ancestor could only have come from the Salmon River. Its dispersal to all five drainages must have occurred via Lake Terreton, a body of water connecting all five drainages up to about 10,000 years ago. This would suggest that the ancestors of all other native species came into the Sinks Drainages after the desiccation of Lake Terreton. The mountain whitefish *Prosopium williamsoni*, native only to the Big Lost River drainage, illustrates some unresolved questions concerning native fishes. In relation to past interbasin connections whereby ancestral species transfer from one drainage into another, fishes can be ranked on where they typically occur from the smallest headwater tributaries (first and second order streams) to larger downstream waters (third and fourth order streams). Trout (cutthroat and bull trout) are the typical inhabitants of first and second order streams. Sculpins *Cottus*, speckled dace *Rhinichthys osculus*, and the mountain sucker *Catostomus playtynychus* would be likely species to occur in second and third order streams. The mountain whitefish is typically found in larger river channels of third and fourth order streams. Thus, it would be expected that the transfer of ancestral mountain whitefish into the Big Lost drainage should have included all associated species that also occur in more headwater areas. Of these, only the Paiute sculpin *C. beldingi*, most probably from upper Snake River, appears to be native to the Big Lost River. Analysis of the distribution of other fishes assumed to be native to the five Sinks Drainages raise similar types of questions. Introductions by humans, deliberate and accidental, recorded and unrecorded over the past 120 years add to the difficulty of any attempt to make a definitive determination of the native fish fauna of the Sinks Drainages.
Preliminary Biogeographic Assessment of the Idaho Sinks Drainages based on Aquatic Invertebrates

Daniel L. Gustafson
Department of Ecology
Montana State University
Bozeman, Montana 59717-3460
dlg@rapid.msu.montana.edu

The Sinks Drainages do not form a natural zoogeographic unit, as they appear to lack any shared invertebrate fauna exclusive of other areas. The smallest area that includes the Sinks Drainages and does have a unique shared fauna must also include the Boise headwaters, the Wood rivers, the Salmon headwaters, the Henrys Fork and the Missouri headwaters. Based on a shared relict fauna, this larger area was once an integrated drainage that formed the northwest limits of the upper Snake paleoriver. This ancient river was not connected to the Columbia River. It originally flowed east and then later coursed across the southwest states to various outlets before its capture by the Columbia River. Volcanic eruptions and uplift from the Yellowstone Hot Spot disrupted this paleo-drainage and eliminated much of the original fauna near its path. Some extinction-resistant taxa survived in the Big Lost, Little Lost, and Birch drainages, but no endemic taxa are yet known in the Medicine Lodge or Beaver-Camas drainages. Miocene relict taxa of the Northern Rocky Mountain Refugium are not yet known in either the Sinks Drainages or Henrys Fork, but these do occur immediately to the north. Late arriving species from the Great Basin into the upper Snake River do not occur north of the Snake River, except sometimes in the lower Henrys Fork. The lack of the mussel *Margaritifera* in the Sinks Drainages suggests that no original trout lived or survived here but that these arrived later by more limited transfers, natural or anthropogenic. Other fish species may have survived the original drainage breakup, at least in the Big and Little Lost Rivers. These were mostly likely derived from upper Snake River, except in the Little Lost River, where a transfer from the north is also indicated.
The Distribution and Potential Origin of Sculpin Species in the Sinks Drainages of Southeastern Idaho

Bart L. Gamett
Salmon-Challis National Forest
P.O. Box 507
Mackay, ID 83251
bgamett@fs.fed.us

Donald W. Zaroban
Orma J. Smith Museum of Natural History
Albertson College of Idaho
2112 Cleveland Blvd.
Caldwell, ID 83605-4432
dzaroban@mindspring.com

Three sculpin species are known from the Sinks Drainages: shorthead sculpin *Cottus confusus*, Paiute sculpin *C. beldingi*, and mottled sculpin *C. bairdi*. The shorthead sculpin has been found in all five of the major Sinks Drainages (Fig. 8). Distribution patterns and geomorphic evidence suggest that the shorthead sculpin entered one or more of the Sinks Drainages from the Salmon River drainage at least 10,000 years ago. The species then likely gained access to other streams in the Sinks system via glacial Lake Terreton. Morphological assessments and preliminary genetic analysis indicate that the shorthead sculpin of the Sinks Drainages are distinct from shorthead sculpin in other drainages. The mottled sculpin is found in the Camas-Beaver Creek, Medicine Lodge Creek, and Birch Creek drainages but is not known from the Big Lost River and Little Lost River drainages (Fig. 9). Distribution patterns and geomorphic evidence suggest that the mottled sculpin entered the Medicine Lodge Creek and Camas-Beaver Creek drainages from the Henrys Fork Snake River drainage within the last few thousand years. However, distribution patterns and geomorphic evidence indicate either that the occurrence of mottled sculpin in Birch Creek is a reporting error or that the species was introduced into this drainage. The Big Lost River is the only drainage within the Sinks system known to contain Paiute sculpin (Fig. 10). It is unclear how or when this species entered this basin. Early sampling efforts and distribution patterns suggest that this species is native to the drainage. Additional genetic analyses are being pursued to help clarify the origin of the sculpin in the Sinks Drainages and help determine how much genetic change has occurred in these species since they were isolated.
Figure 8. Occurrence of shorthead sculpin in the Sinks Drainages.

Figure 9. Occurrence of mottled sculpin in the Sinks Drainages.
Figure 10. Occurrence of Paiute sculpin in the Sinks Drainages.
We analyzed mountain whitefish *Prosopium williamsoni* from the Big Lost River and surrounding watersheds at 32 allozyme loci and four microsatellite loci. Populations analyzed from surrounding watersheds were from the upper Snake River (above and below Shoshone Falls) and the Salmon River. We focused on three questions: 1) What is the source of mountain whitefish currently inhabiting the Big Lost River? 2) How does the distribution of genetic variation of Big Lost River mountain whitefish compare to the distribution of genetic variation in surrounding populations? 3) Are mountain whitefish in the Big Lost River potentially an endemic species or subspecies? Mountain whitefish from the Big Lost River appear to have entered the system via the upper Snake River because the Big Lost River population shares allozyme and microsatellite alleles with the upper Snake River populations but not with the Salmon River populations. The Big Lost River mountain whitefish population is characterized by an extreme lack of genetic variation; of the 32 allozyme loci analyzed, only one was variable. Heterozygosity at the four microsatellites was zero, greatly reduced from upper Snake populations, where the average heterozygosity for three populations at the same four loci was 0.294. Finally, mountain whitefish from the Big Lost River are highly genetically differentiated from surrounding populations. This fact is best demonstrated by the fixation of a unique allele in the Big Lost River population at one of the microsatellite loci. We can infer from these data that genetic exchange has not occurred between whitefish in the Big Lost River and surrounding watersheds for a long time period. When the genetic data are coupled with morphological differences, consideration of Big Lost River mountain whitefish as a separate subspecies may be warranted.
The Settlement of Southeastern Idaho before 1900

Ron Hatzenbuehler
Department of History
Idaho State University
Pocatello, ID 83209
hatzrona@isu.edu

When European-Americans began permanent settlements in what became southeastern Idaho, they began living in an environment that Indians had been using for probably 10,000 years. Because no single place offered sufficient resources on a year-round basis, these people moved about from place to place, living within the environment without diminishing the resources of any single area. Until very recently, anthropologists believed that the Shoshoni did not fish, although there is historical evidence of Indians below Shoshone Falls trading dried salmon with travelers on the Oregon Trail. Excavation of formal garbage dumps on the Ft. Hall Indian Reservation, however, indicates that fully one-half of the bones were from riverine resources (mostly fish -- whitefish and cutthroat trout). Further, systematic analysis of pottery sherds indicates that the Shoshoni hunted salmon using spears at Dagger Falls near present-day Stanley, Idaho, for oil, which they rendered (rendering oil is an efficient way of concentrating fats and protein) and stored in pottery jugs.

The first, permanent European-American settlements began in the 1860s when members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints moved northward from Cache Valley, Utah, into Idaho Territory (actually, these people thought they were in Utah until the boundary between the two territories was clarified in 1873). Franklin, Idaho, at the northern end of Cache Valley became Idaho's first, permanent settlement (1860), followed in subsequent years by settlements along the Bear River Valley, the Malad River, and Goose, Warm and Rock creeks and Raft River.

Large-scale settlement of Idaho and the other western states as well came with the introduction of the railroad. The Railroad Act of 1862 set the stage for the entry of railroads into the West, and in 1869 the transcontinental railroad was completed. Because the route left out Salt Lake City, leaders of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints decided to get into the railroad business, first to build a spur line to Salt Lake from Ogden, and next to supply miners in the Montana gold fields with supplies. In 1874, the first railroad, the narrow gauge (3 feet between the rails) Utah Northern Railroad, entered Idaho Territory at Preston.

However, money for the railroad quickly evaporated due to infestations of grasshoppers, the panic of 1873 and other factors, and the railroad went into receivership, having built only 14 miles of road into Idaho Territory. In 1878 Jay Gould and other investors associated with the Union Pacific Railroad purchased the bankrupt stock and reorganized it as the Utah & Northern Railway Company. By 1880, the railroad had reached the Montana border and by 1881 entered Butte.

Also in 1881, the Union Pacific announced plans to build a main line across Idaho from east to west to eventually reach the Pacific coast. The Oregon Short Line Railway Company was
chartered in order to build a broad gauge (4 feet, 6 inches) railroad from Granger, Wyoming, to Huntington, Oregon. By 1882, the Oregon Short Line had reached Shoshone, Idaho, where a trunk line was added to Hailey, Idaho for silver, lead, and zinc mines there. By 1884 the railroad connected Idaho with Oregon.

At Pocatello, the old narrow and standard gauge tracks initially operated side by side but resulted in a real bottleneck of traffic. On July 25, 1885, the entire 245 miles of narrow gauge track from Pocatello to Silver Bow, Montana was widened. In 1889, the Oregon Short Line and Utah Northern combined, went bankrupt in the panic of 1893 and were reorganized in 1897 as the Oregon Short Line Railroad Company, a subsidiary of Union Pacific. Other railroads built both by Union Pacific interests and other groups were then added that linked outlying cities, for example Mackay in the Big Lost drainage (1901).

The railroad brought not only people and an expansion of economic activity to Idaho; it also brought fish and other animal species. One example of fish being transported by train relates directly to Mackay. Trout were not native to the Big Lost River, but in 1896 or 1897 wagons went from Mackay to Blackfoot to get fish that had been transported there by train. In June 1914, the railroad brought 100,000 trout for introduction into Warm Springs Creek above Mackay Reservoir, which had been built in 1910 for irrigation.
Twenty-seven fish species and three hybrids have been found in the Sinks Drainages (Table 1). However, historical records, distribution patterns, and geomorphic history suggest that only a few species are native to the Sinks Drainages (Table 2). There is strong evidence to suggest that shorthead sculpin *Cottus confusus*, Paiute sculpin *C. beldingi*, mottled sculpin *C. bairdi*, mountain whitefish *Prosopium williamsoni*, and cutthroat trout *Oncorhynchus clarki* are native to at least portions of the Sinks Drainages. Although it is apparent that rainbow trout *O. mykiss* and bull trout *Salvelinus confluentus* are not native to the Big Lost River, Birch Creek, Medicine Lodge Creek, and Camas-Beaver Creek systems, it is unclear whether these two species are native to the Little Lost River basin. Shorthead sculpin, which appear to be native to all five of the major Sinks Drainages, likely entered one or more of the Sinks streams at least 10,000 years ago from the Salmon River drainage. This species appears to have then dispersed to the remaining Sinks streams through glacial Lake Terreton. It is unclear how or when Paiute sculpin, which are known only from the Big Lost River drainage, entered this system. Mottled sculpin and cutthroat trout appear to be native only to the Medicine Lodge and Camas-Beaver drainages. It appears that both of these species entered these streams from the Henrys Fork Snake River drainage within the last 10,000 years, in which case the cutthroat is the Yellowstone subspecies *O. c. bouvieri*. Mountain whitefish, which are known only from the Big Lost River drainage, appear to have entered the Sinks Drainages from the Snake River at least 10,000 years ago. If rainbow trout or bull trout are native to the Little Lost River, they likely entered this system within the last 10,000 years.

Table 2. Species potentially native to the Sinks Drainages. An ‘X’ indicates that there is strong evidence suggesting the species is native to the particular drainage. A “?” indicates it is unclear whether a species is native to the particular drainage.
The Sinks Drainages of Idaho drain portions of the Caribou-Targhee and Salmon-Challis National Forests. Sources for Forest management direction in this area include the Forest Service Manual and the respective National Forest Land Management Plans.

Forest Service Manual direction includes policies to maintain the population viability of all native and desired non-native fish and wildlife, maintain diverse and productive fish and wildlife habitat, and ensure that species do not become Threatened or Endangered under the Endangered Species Act due to Forest Service actions.

Management direction on the Caribou-Targhee National Forest within the Sinks Drainages is also provided by the Targhee Revised Forest Plan. Under the Targhee Revised Forest Plan, riparian areas (termed Aquatic Influence Zones) are managed to restore and maintain riparian and aquatic health and ecological function and processes. The widths of these areas vary by Forest subsection, making them sensitive to physiographic characteristics featured by each subsection. Standards and guidelines increase the sensitivity of land management in these areas. The Targhee Revised Plan emphasizes protection of native cutthroat trout watersheds, encourages watershed analysis, prohibits cross-country motorized use, and identifies fish distribution surveys as a Forest monitoring priority.

Land management direction on the Salmon-Challis National Forest within the Sinks Drainages is currently provided by the Challis National Forest Management Plan as amended by the Inland Native Fish Strategy (INFISH). The Salmon-Challis National Forest is currently revising its Forest plan. Riparian Habitat Conservation Areas (RHCA) are established along stream channels, flat water, wetlands, and landslide-prone areas. In these areas, riparian dependent resources receive primary emphasis. Default RHCA widths vary according to type of habitat area (for instance, RHCA s for fish-bearing streams are wider than intermittent stream channels) and can be adjusted through watershed analysis. Standards and guidelines provide specific direction to conserve native fish and their habitat. INFISH also emphasizes watershed analysis, watershed restoration, and monitoring. Additional direction for the Little Lost Drainage includes the bull trout biological assessment, biological opinion, and the soon-to-be-released recovery plan.

Both the Caribou-Targhee and the Salmon-Challis National Forests have management direction to conserve riparian and aquatic dependent species in the Sinks Drainages. That direction emphasizes the management of habitat, watersheds, and ecosystems and is seldom species-specific. Management emphasis can be influenced through our cooperation with other agencies and laws.
The Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) primary land management activity in the Sinks Drainages is livestock grazing. The BLM's current riparian management philosophy in the Sinks is to modify land management activities to allow streams (both channel and riparian health) to make significant progress toward Proper Functioning Condition (PFC). Standards and Guideline Assessments under BLM's Healthy Range Initiative and its adaptive management philosophy are the primary tools for accomplishing this goal. If a riparian zone is found to be non-functional or not making significant progress toward PFC, the BLM must make a management change in that allotment before the next grazing season. The change is implemented and monitored. If after several seasons the stream reach is still not making significant progress toward PFC the management is again modified until progress is being made. Typical BLM riparian management involves the establishment of riparian pastures with early season (May and June), short duration (2-4 weeks) use. INFISH standards and guidelines are used in establishing these riparian pastures. This grazing regime allows 3-4 months of re-growth on riparian vegetation and bank stabilization once the livestock are removed. Riparian pasture standards are a 4 inch stubble height at the end of the grazing season and a 6 inch stubble height at the end of the growing season (residual stubble height). Under the Bull trout consultation process with the USFWS in the Little Lost River watershed, the riparian pasture grazing system received a “May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect” determination. Since then, it has become the riparian standard throughout most of the Sinks Drainages. All major management changes or project proposals in the Little Lost are reviewed by the Level I streamlined team and formally or informally consulted on by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Under the Clean Water Act, the State of Idaho has developed Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for all 303(d) water quality impaired streams in the Sinks. The BLM is currently developing TMDL Implementation Plans for the Little Lost River and Medicine Lodge Creek watersheds. These TMDLs revolve around the ecological and life history needs of bull trout in the Little Lost and Yellowstone cutthroat trout in Medicine Lodge.
Within the area comprising the Sinks Drainages, several branches of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) are active: Law Enforcement, Ecological Services Office, Fisheries, and National Wildlife Refuges (NWR). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's mission is, working with others, to conserve, protect and enhance fish, wildlife, and plants and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people.

**Law Enforcement** - FWS law enforcement activities focus on potentially devastating threats to wildlife resources -- illegal trade, unlawful commercial exploitation, habitat destruction, and environmental contaminants.

**The National Wildlife Refuge System** is a national network of lands and waters established for the conservation and management of fish, wildlife and plant resources and their habitats. Camas NWR is located within the Beaver-Camas watershed.

**Fisheries.** The FWS’s Idaho Fisheries Resources Office provides assistance to the State of Idaho, Native American Tribes, and other interested entities to encourage cooperative conservation, restoration, and management of the fishery resources of the State of Idaho.

**Ecological Services**. The FWS’s Ecological Services Offices in Boise and Chubbuck operate under a number of authorities and through a number of programs, including, but not limited to:

**Endangered Species**: The Endangered Species Act directs the FWS to identify species whose status warrants listing as endangered or threatened, develop and implement recovery programs for listed species, work with state resource agencies and federal agencies to protect and recover listed species, and to implement a program to permit certain activities with listed species. The only listed aquatic species in the Sinks Drainages, bull trout, occurs within the Little Lost River watershed. The FWS is working actively with various partners to minimize project-related impacts to bull trout and to conduct restoration activities for bull trout recovery.

**Environmental Contaminants**: Contaminants specialists focus on detecting toxic chemicals, addressing their effects, preventing harm to fish, wildlife and their habitats, and removing toxic chemicals and restoring habitat when prevention is not possible. They are experts on oil and chemical spills, pesticides, water quality, hazardous materials disposal and other aspects of pollution biology.
Partners for Fish and Wildlife: This program offers technical and financial assistance to private (non-federal) landowners to voluntarily restore wetlands and other fish and wildlife habitats on their land. The FWS also provides biological technical assistance to U.S. Department of Agriculture agencies implementing key conservation programs of the Farm Bill.

Within the Sinks Drainages, the Service works closely with the Federal land management agencies -- the U.S. Bureau of Land Management and the USDA Forest Service -- as well as with other Federal and State agencies, conservation groups and private landowners to conserve fish and wildlife resources, including the threatened bull trout.
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Prior to the 1980s, primary management activities in the Sinks Drainages by the Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG) were fish stocking and transfers. Records date from 1913 in most drainages, with rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (seven varieties) the most frequent and numerous species stocked. Other coldwater species stocked in the Sinks Drainages include steelhead *O. mykiss*, westslope *O. clarki lewisi* and Yellowstone *O. c. bouvieri* cutthroat, rainbow-cutthroat hybrids, kokanee *O. nerka* (blueback salmon and Redfish Lake kokanee), brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis*, brown trout *Salmo trutta*, grayling *Thymallus arcticus*, and golden trout *O. aquabonita*. Mountain whitefish *Prosopium williamsoni* stocking records for the Big Lost River show these were fish from transfers of salvaged wild fish within the basin. Numerous warm/cool water species were stocked in Mud Lake, including largemouth bass *Micropterus salmoides*, walleye *Stizostedion vitreum*, yellow perch *Perca flavescens*, bluegill *Lepomis macrochirus*, crappie *Pomoxis nigromaculatus*, and tiger muskie *Esox lucius x Esox masquinongy*. Mud Lake was treated with pisicides in 1951 to remove non-game species. Geothermal waters in theses drainages contain numerous species of tropical fish released illegally.

Current management of the Sinks is guided by the Idaho Fisheries Management Plan 2001-2006 and recognizes IDFG policy set in 1975 that “wild native populations of fish will receive priority consideration in management decisions.” This policy further states that nonnative fish will only be introduced where no adverse impact to wild native fish is expected. A 1985 addition to the policy stipulates that fish introduction may only be used where genetic integrity and population viability of wild native stocks will be maintained.

Species currently managed as native game fish are: rainbow trout and mountain whitefish in the Big Lost River drainage, rainbow and bull trout in the Little Lost River drainage, rainbow trout in the Birch Creek drainage, rainbow and Yellowstone cutthroat trout in the Medicine Lodge drainage, and Yellowstone cutthroat trout in the Beaver-Camas drainage. Lack of literature and understanding of the fish fauna and their distribution in the Sinks Drainages has resulted in a native stock identification for management purposes that may include non-native species in some streams.

Hatchery stocking currently occurs in portions of the Big Lost River and Mackay Reservoir, Birch Creek, Camas Creek and Beaver Creek. Stocking of mountain lakes in all drainages occurs and includes Yellowstone cutthroat, rainbow trout, golden trout and grayling. The Little Lost
River and Medicine Lodge Creek drainages are managed as wild trout fisheries and have not been stocked since 1983. Hatchery use is based on policies that fish will not be stocked in waters designated as “wild,” and sterile hatchery rainbow will be used where introgression with wild native species is a concern. Yellowstone cutthroat have been protected by restrictive regulations in the streams were they occur since 1990.

Future fisheries management will continue to focus on providing viable sport fisheries in all of the Sinks Drainages. Further designation of wild and quality trout waters is expected in Beaver Creek, Camas Creek, and portions of the Big Lost to meet angler desires for wild fish and to address conservation needs. IDFG plans to prepare native non-game species conservation plans, including inventory, to assist in determining population management goals for all of the Sinks native species. IDFG will treat mountain whitefish, rainbow, cutthroat, and bull trout as native species but will refine drainage designation based on information from the Sinks Symposium.

Future management will consider the information from the symposium in adjusting priorities for native species. IDFG expects to recognize rainbow as the native trout of the Big Lost, Little River and Birch Creek and Yellowstone cutthroat as the native trout in Medicine Lodge, Camas and Beaver creeks. IDFG will continue to manage the bull trout of the Little Lost and a native species. Using the information from this symposium, IDFG will initiate a native non-game species inventory, develop population management goals for native non-game and game species and develop conservation management plans for all native species.
1. What species are native to each of the Sinks Drainages?

Evidence suggests that as many as seven of the 27 species documented in the Sinks Drainages are native (Tables 1 and 2). There is strong evidence that mountain whitefish, cutthroat trout, shorthead sculpin, Paiute sculpin, and mottled sculpin are native species. However, it is important to recognize that each of these species is not necessarily native to each of the individual drainages. Although there is also evidence to suggest that bull trout and rainbow trout may be native to the Little Lost River drainage, there is some evidence to the contrary. Additional work is needed to determine the status of these two species.

2. How and when did the native species colonize the Sinks Drainages?

**Mountain Whitefish** Genetic data suggest that the mountain whitefish in the Sinks Drainages originated from the upper Snake River drainage. This species may have entered the Sinks Drainages via a connection between glacial Lake Terreton and the Snake River. The presence of this species in the Big Lost River suggests that this species had entered the Sinks Drainages prior to or during the most recent existence of glacial Lake Terreton (i.e., more than 10,000 years ago).

**Cutthroat Trout** The apparent distribution patterns of native cutthroat trout within and around the Sinks Drainages suggest that this species entered the Sinks Drainages since the most recent existence of glacial Lake Terreton (i.e., within the last 10,000 years). The species most likely entered the Sinks Drainages from the Henrys Fork Snake River drainage via Dry Creek and is thus of the Yellowstone cutthroat subspecies.

**Shorthead Sculpin** The distribution patterns of shorthead sculpin within and around the Sinks Drainages suggest that the shorthead sculpin within the Sinks Drainages originated from the
Salmon River basin. It is most likely that the species entered the Sinks Drainages through headwater stream transfer. The presence of shorthead sculpin in all of the Sinks Drainages suggests that this species had entered the Sinks Drainages prior to or during the most recent existence of Lake Terreton (i.e., more than 10,000 years ago).

Paiute Sculpin Although distribution evidence suggests this species is native to the Big Lost River, it is unclear how or when this species entered the basin. Additional work is needed before these questions can be addressed.

Mottled Sculpin The mottled sculpin in the Sinks Drainages likely originated from the Henrys Fork Snake River drainage within the same timeframe and through the same mechanism as the Yellowstone cutthroat trout.

Bull Trout and Rainbow Trout If either of these species is native to the Little Lost River drainage, it likely entered the basin from the Salmon River basin via headwater stream transfer. The fact that these species are not native to the other Sinks Drainages suggests that if either of these species is native to the Little Lost River basin it would have had to entered the drainage since the most recent existence of glacial Lake Terreton (i.e., within the last 10,000 years).

3. Do any of the native species potentially constitute an endemic subspecies or species?

The mountain whitefish and the shorthead sculpin are the two species in the Sinks Drainages most likely to constitute an endemic species or subspecies. Both of these species appear to have been isolated in the Sinks system for at least 10,000 years. This may have been adequate time for these species to develop significant genetic, physiological, morphological, and ecological changes. Indeed, genetic analysis indicates that there are considerable genetic differences between the mountain whitefish found in the Sinks Drainages and the mountain whitefish found in the upper Snake River drainage, from which the mountain whitefish in the Sinks Drainages is thought to have originated. Work is currently underway to determine if and how these genetic differences express themselves from a morphological and ecological standpoint. Genetic work on the shorthead sculpin is also currently underway to begin evaluating whether this species is significantly different from shorthead sculpin in the Salmon River drainage, from which shorthead sculpin in the Sinks Drainages are thought to have originated. However, additional work is needed before definitive conclusions can be drawn as to whether either of these fishes constitutes an endemic subspecies or species.

The status of the Paiute sculpin in the Big Lost River drainage is unclear. It is possible that the species has been isolated in the basin long enough to be have developed significant differences from Paiute sculpin found elsewhere. However, additional work is needed to determine if this is the case.

It is doubtful that the cutthroat trout and the mottled sculpin found in the Sinks Drainages constitute an endemic species or subspecies. These two fishes have likely been isolated within the Sinks Drainages for only a few thousand years. This is probably not sufficient time for these species to have undergone significant changes. Likewise, if bull trout or rainbow trout are native to the Little Lost River basin it is is doubtful these species are significantly different from their
parent populations, since they would have been isolated within the Little Lost River basin for only a few thousand years.

4. What implications do the native fish assemblages have on the management of the Sinks Drainages?

The Bureau of Land Management, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, and Salmon-Challis National Forest have management direction to conserve riparian and aquatic dependent species in the Sinks Drainages. That direction comes from their resource and forest management plans and their agency policies and regulations. It emphasizes the management of habitat, viable populations, watersheds, and ecosystems and is seldom aquatic species-specific. For instance, answers to the question of “what fish species are endemic to the sinks drainages” do not influence management direction. However, the status or viability of populations may. Management emphasis can be influenced through these agencies’ cooperation with other agencies and laws. As an example, the Little Lost River drainage is managed by the USDA Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management under a bull trout biological opinion finalized with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 1998.

Several branches of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service have roles within the Sinks Drainages: Law Enforcement, Ecological Services, Fisheries, and Wildlife Refuges. Their activities are directed through Federal Fish and Wildlife Laws. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service works closely with Federal land management agencies, as well as other Federal and State agencies, conservation groups, and private landowners, to conserve fish and wildlife resources. As discussed above, their responsibilities, particularly in how they are directed by Federal laws, may influence other agencies’ activities in the Sinks Drainages.

Idaho Department of Fish and Game manages fisheries in the Sinks Drainages that feature populations of mountain whitefish, rainbow trout, brook trout, cutthroat trout, and bull trout. In accordance with the statewide Fisheries Management Plan, emphasis is on protection of wild/native populations. Historically, ambiguity associated with natural distribution of species throughout the Sinks Drainages has posed a unique challenge there. Information presented at The Sinks Symposium provides a stronger basis from which to prioritize actions and make management decisions.
Appendix

Photographs of representative streams and landscapes in the Sinks Drainages.

Beaver Creek, Beaver-Camas watershed. Photo courtesy Caribou-Targhee National Forest.

Beaver Creek, Beaver-Camas watershed. Photo courtesy Caribou-Targhee National Forest.

West Camas Creek, Beaver-Camas watershed. Photo courtesy Caribou-Targhee National Forest.

West Camas Creek, Beaver-Camas watershed. Photo courtesy Caribou-Targhee National Forest.
Medicine Lodge Creek, Medicine Lodge watershed. Photo courtesy U.S. Bureau of Land Management.

Birch Creek near Kaufman, Birch Creek watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.

Willow Creek and Birch Creek Valley, Birch Creek watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.
Dry Creek, Little Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.

Lower Little Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.

Borah Peak, Big Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.

Surprise Valley, Big Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.
Bench Lake, Big Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.

Wildhorse Creek, Big Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.

East Fork Big Lost River, Big Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.

Big Lost River near Mackay, Big Lost watershed. Photo courtesy of Lost River Ranger District, Salmon-Challis National Forest.